UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,560	06/26/2003	Thomas Holtey	120-140	4724
	7590 04/06/2007 & MANARAS LLP		EXAMINER	
125 NAGOG PA	125 NAGOG PARK ACTON MA 01720		DUCT	
ACTON, MA 0			PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				N
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-
		10/606,560	HOLTEY ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
- <u>-</u>		Duc T. Duong	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.2 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	lune 2003.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.:	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,13 and 16-29</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8,10-12,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	two from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers		•	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
2) Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/606,560

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 2, 9, 13, and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Prieto, Jr. et al (US Patent 7,002,918 B1).

Regarding to claims 1, 9, and 13, Prieto discloses a method for selecting one of a plurality of data sources 15-30 as a source of data, wherein the data sources are apportioned into a first types of data source and a second type of data source (fig. 1 col. 4 lines 51-57), the method comprising the steps of providing a vector 202 for each one of the plurality of data sources, the vector comprising a series of N bits (time indices), where each bit corresponds to one of N time slots (fig. 3 col. 7 lines 44-53), each bit representing whether the associated one of the plurality of data sources is assigned to

Application/Control Number: 10/606,560

Art Unit: 2616

the corresponding time slot; determining, for each time slot, a slot style (queue) of the time slot (fig. 3 col. 7 lines 59-60); and selecting, at each time slot, one of the plurality of data sources as the source of data, the step of selecting operating in response to the slot style of the time slot (fig. 2 col. 5 lines 65-67 and col. 6 lines 1-9).

Regarding to claim 2, Prieto discloses the step of selecting further operates in response to a value of each bit 202 associated with the time slot 210-214 from each vector of the plurality of data vectors (fig. 3 col. 7 lines 50-53).

Regarding to claim 16, Prieto discloses a queuing system (fig. 2) comprising a plurality of queues 104-110, each queue having a type (priority class or QoS) associated therewith (col. 5 lines 63-67); a selector 152, coupled to the plurality of queues, the selector for selecting one of the plurality of queues to provide data to an output (col. 7 lines 17-19), the selector comprising a control structure 200 including a vector 202 comprising a number of bits corresponding to a number of time slots, wherein each of the time slots has a type associated therewith, wherein a set of the plurality of queues are assigned to each of the time slots (fig. 3 col. 7 lines 44-53), and wherein the selector selects one of the plurality of queues to provide an output for each time slot based on the type of the each time slot and the type of the queue (fig. 5 col. 8 lines 43-58).

Regarding to claim 17, Prieto discloses a rate limiter 116 disposed between the plurality of queues and the selector (fig. 2 col. 6 lines 17-23).

Regarding to claim 18, Prieto discloses the plurality of queues comprises a plurality of priority queues and a plurality of balanced bandwidth queues (fig. 3 col. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/606,560

Art Unit: 2616

lines 61-67), wherein the selector further comprises an indictor for indicating that at least one of the plurality of the balanced bandwidth queues is ready to transmit data (fig 2 col. 8 lines 4-18).

Regarding to claim 19, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a priority queue if the priority queue is ready and assigned (fig. 2 col. 8 lines 30-42).

Regarding to claim 20, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a priority queue if the priority queue is ready but not assigned, and the associated time slot type is a priority time slot type (fig. 4 col. 8 lines 59-67 and col. 9 lines 1-3).

Regarding to claim 21, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a balanced bandwidth queue if the assigned priority queue is not ready, and the balanced bandwidth queue is assigned and ready (fig. 4 col. 8 lines 59-67 and col. 9 lines 1-3; noted the Q1 and Q2 can be interchange to read on the balanced bandwidth queue and priority queue).

Regarding to claim 22, Prieto discloses the selector operates to advance the time slot if the assigned priority queue is not ready, and the balanced bandwidth queue is assigned but not ready, and the indicator is set to indicate that at least one of the plurality of balanced bandwidth queues is ready (col. 9 lines 4-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prieto in view of Opalka et al (US Patent 6,259,699 B1).

Regarding to claim 23, Prieto discloses all the limitations with respect to claim 16, except for a network line card comprising an ingress data path for forwarding a packet from a device to a fabric and an egress data path for forwarding a packet from a fabric to a device. However, Opalka discloses a switching system comprising both ingress and egress data path for transmitting packets via switching fabric (fig. 7 col. 9 lines 26-37). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at time of the invention, to employ the networking line card as taught by Opalka into Prieto's system since such line card is well known in the art and can be easily implemented with hardware.

Regarding to claim 24, Prieto discloses a rate limiter 116 disposed between the plurality of queues and the selector (fig. 2 col. 6 lines 17-23).

Regarding to claim 25, Prieto discloses the plurality of queues comprises a plurality of priority queues and a plurality of balanced bandwidth queues (fig. 3 col. 7 lines 61-67), wherein the selector further comprises an indictor for indicating that at least one of the plurality of the balanced bandwidth queues is ready to transmit data (fig 2 col. 8 lines 4-18).

Regarding to claim 26, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a priority queue if the priority queue is ready and assigned (fig. 2 col. 8 lines 30-42).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding to claim 27, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a priority queue if the priority queue is ready but not assigned, and the associated time slot type is a priority time slot type (fig. 4 col. 8 lines 59-67 and col. 9 lines 1-3).

Regarding to claim 28, Prieto discloses the selector operates to select a balanced bandwidth queue if the assigned priority queue is not ready, and the balanced bandwidth queue is assigned and ready (fig. 4 col. 8 lines 59-67 and col. 9 lines 1-3; noted the Q1 and Q2 can be interchange to read on the balanced bandwidth queue and priority queue).

Regarding to claim 29, Prieto discloses the selector operates to advance the time slot if the assigned priority queue is not ready, and the balanced bandwidth queue is assigned but not ready, and the indicator is set to indicate that at least one of the plurality of balanced bandwidth queues is ready (col. 9 lines 4-21).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-8, 10-12, 14, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,560 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DD DV

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600